Second Hinode Science Meeting 29 Sept. - 03 Oct. 2008 Boulder, USA

Predicting observational signatures of coronal heating by Alfvén waves and nanoflares

P.Antolin^{1,2}, K. Shibata¹, T. Kudoh³, D. Shiota⁴, D. Brooks⁵

¹ Kwasan Observatory - Kyoto University
² Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics - University of Oslo
³ National Astronomical Observatory of Japan
⁴ Earth Simulator Center - JAMSTEC
⁵ Space Science Division - Naval Research Laboratory





The solar corona

Grotrian, Edlén (1943): correct interpretation of coronal lines T > I MK>200 times hotter than photosphere

Coronal heating problem



Hinode/XRT

Heating mechanisms

- Alfvén wave model (Alfvén 1947, Uchida & Kaburaki 1974, Wenzel 1974).
- Alfvén waves can carry enough energy to heat and maintain a corona (Hollweg et al. 1982, Kudoh & Shibata 1999)
- Waves may be created by sub-photospheric motions or by magnetic reconnection events. They propagate into the corona and dissipate their energy (linear & nonlinear mechanisms)
- Solar surface Downflow
- Mode conversion: Alfvén waves convert into longitudinal modes during propagation, which can steepen into shocks and heat the plasma (Moriyasu et al. 2004)

Heating mechanisms



footpoint shuffling - braiding, twisting,...
ubiquitous, sporadic and impulsive releases of energy in current sheets
(nanoflares, Parker 1988)

- Nanoflare-reconnection model (Porter et al. 1987, Parker 1988).
- Both models may explain observed intermittency and spiky intensity profiles of coronal lines (Parnell & Jupp 2000, Katsukawa & Tsuneta 2001, Moriyasu et al. 2004).
 How to recognize both mechanisms

when they operate in the corona?



Observational facts

Shimizu et al. 1995

10-2

 Energy release processes in the Sun, from solar flares down to microflares are found to follow a power law distribution in frequency (Lin et al. 1984; Dennis 1985).



 Studies of small-scale brightenings have shown a power law both steeper and shallower than 2 (Krucker & Benz 1998, Aschwanden & Parnell 2002).

 Propose unique observable signatures of Alfvén wave heating and nanoflare-reconnection heating.

 Propose unique observable signatures of Alfvén wave heating and nanoflare-reconnection heating.

convective motions

reconnection events

 Propose unique observable signatures of Alfvén wave heating and nanoflare-reconnection heating.

Different characteristics of wave modes along magnetic flux tubes

convective motions

reconnection events

 Propose unique observable signatures of Alfvén wave heating and nanoflare-reconnection heating.

Different characteristics of wave modes along magnetic flux tubes

convective motions

reconnection events

Different distribution of shocks and strengths in the tubes

 Propose unique observable signatures of Alfvén wave heating and nanoflare-reconnection heating.

Different characteristics of wave modes along magnetic flux tubes

convective motions

reconnection events

Different distribution of shocks and strengths in the tubes

Distinctive flow patterns along the tubes Distinctive X-ray intensity profiles Distinctive frequency distribution of heating events between the models: distinctive power law index

Numerical model

- Initial conditions
 - $T_0 = 10^4$ K, constant
 - $\rho_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
 - $p_0 = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ dyn cm}^{-2}$
 - $B_0 = 2300$ G, with apex to base area ratio of 1000
 - Hydrostatic pressure balance up to 800 km height. After ρ∝(height)⁻⁴ (Shibata et al. 1989)
- I.5-D MHD code $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = 0$, $v_r = 0$, $B_r = 0$
- CIP-MOCCT scheme (Yabe & Aoki 1991, Stone & Norman 1992, Kudoh et al. 1999) with conduction + radiative losses (optically thin & thick approximations)
- Torsional Alfvén waves created by a random photospheric driver. Also monochromatic waves



$$\mathcal{H}_i(t,s) = E_0 \sin\left(\frac{\pi(t-t_i)}{\tau_i}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|s-s_i|}{s_h}\right)$$
$$(t_i < t < t_i + \tau_i)$$

Artificial injection of energy: we assume only slow modes are created



- Heating events can be:
 - Uniformly distributed along loop
 - Concentrated towards footpoints
- Energies of heating events can follow
 - A uniform distribution
 - A power law distribution



$$\mathcal{H}_i(t,s) = E_0 \sin\left(\frac{\pi(t-t_i)}{\tau_i}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|s-s_i|}{s_h}\right)$$
$$(t_i < t < t_i + \tau_i)$$

Artificial injection of energy: we assume only slow modes are created



- Heating events can be:
 - Uniformly distributed along loop
 - Concentrated towards footpoints
- Energies of heating events can follow
 - A uniform distribution
 - A power law distribution



$$\mathcal{H}_i(t,s) = E_0 \sin\left(\frac{\pi(t-t_i)}{\tau_i}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|s-s_i|}{s_h}\right)$$
$$(t_i < t < t_i + \tau_i)$$

Artificial injection of energy: we assume only slow modes are created



- Heating events can be:
 - Uniformly distributed along loop
 - Concentrated towards footpoints
- Energies of heating events can follow
 - A uniform distribution
 - A power law distribution



$$\mathcal{H}_i(t,s) = E_0 \sin\left(\frac{\pi(t-t_i)}{\tau_i}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|s-s_i|}{s_h}\right)$$
$$(t_i < t < t_i + \tau_i)$$

- Artificial injection of energy: we assume only slow modes are created
- Heating events can be:
 - Uniformly distributed along loop
 - Concentrated towards footpoints
- Energies of heating events can follow
 - A uniform distribution
 - A power law distribution





Results





Strong slow/ fast shocks are ubiquitous in the corona

Spicules easily created (Kudoh & Shibata 1999)





Doppler velocities calculated from Fe XV emission line, using CHIANTI atomic database Red shifts observed at footpoints

Agreement with observations in QS?



For $\langle v_{\phi}^2 \rangle^{1/2} \gtrsim 1.3$ km/s a corona is created



- The 100 150 s range is the more efficient
- Shorter periods do not carry sufficient energy into the corona (large dissipation)
- Larger periods produce too strong shocks that disrupt energy balance in the corona

















Doppler velocities from Fe XV emission line (CHIANTI): blue shifts at footpoints

Agreement with observations in AR (Hara et al. 2008)

Simulating observations with Hinode/XRT



Simulating observations with Hinode/XRT



Simulating observations with Hinode/XRT











 \leq

10⁶

×

 $\stackrel{\wedge}{\vdash}$

Conclusions

Nanoflare-footpoint heating — AR loops?

Observational signatures

Heating	Mean & max	Doppler vel.	Intensity	Mean
model	velocities(km/s)	(Fe XV)	flux	power law
Alfvén	<v> ~ 50</v>	red shifts ~	bursty	<δ>>2
wave	v _{max} > 200	I0 km/s	everywhere	constant
Nanoflare	<v> ~ 15</v>	blue shifts ~	bursty close	2><δ>>1.5
footpoint	v _{max} > 200	30 km/s	to TR	decreases
Nanoflare	<v> ~ 5</v>	blue shifts ~	Flat	<δ> ~ I
uniform	v _{max} < 40	10 km/s	everywhere	decreases

Antolin et al.(2008), ApJ 687